On January 24th Gene and I are scheduled to speak before you for some 25 homeowners to appeal the decision of the planning commission, to allow a subdivision consisting of 8 four bedroom homes all on wells and septics on Tide Creek Rd. owned by Aggnes Petersen. The subdivisions wells are downhill and a few hundred feet from our wells that we collectively have spent hundreds of thousands on our wells, storage tanks, redrills, etc. trying to keep up with our dwindling water supply, also there are health and safety issues that have been ignored.

The Petersen's have had about four years to put together their application using the county rules and using and ignoring 37/49 rules.

We on the other hand are given three minutes to object to this subdivision. The planning committee as stated they must vote for the applicant regardless of our objections, as long as the applicant has satisfied the county requirements, which she has.

Does this mandatory vote also include the county commissioners? If it does, there is no point in us taking up your time and ours to present our case before you.

The claimant's property consists of 69.09 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

## 6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:
(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

## Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

## 7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

## Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Columbia County deed records indicate that claimant Agnes Petersen acquired the property on July 18, 2006, when Agnes Jane Thompson passed away. ${ }^{2}$

On July 18, 2006, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Columbia County's acknowledged Forestry Agriculture (FA-19) zone. Columbia County's FA-19 zone required 80 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established.

[^0]

# REAL PROPERTY TAX STATEMENT <br> JULY 1, 2006 TO JUNE 30, 2007 <br> COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON <br> 230 STRAND STREET <br> ST. HELENS, OR 97051 

VALUES
REAL MARKET

| LAND | 225,310 | 438,300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRUCTURES |  |  |
| TOTAL RMV | 225,310 | 438,300 |
| TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE | 150,540 | 155,040 |

EXEMPTIONS
NET TAXABLE:
TOTAL PROPERTY TAX:

| POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL TAX LIABILITY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| If a mortgage company pays your taxes, This statement is for your records only. |  |  |
| Debit/credit card payments accepted at $1-877-246-3058$ www.officialpayments.com |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| VALUATION | (503) 397-2240 | Questions: |
| TAX PAYMENT | (503) 397-0060 |  |


| NW REGIONAL ESD | 23.32 |
| :--- | ---: |
| ST HELENS 502 SCHOOL | 779.80 |
| PORTLAND COMM COLLEGE | 43.85 |
| EDUCATION TOTAL: | 846.97 |
|  |  |
| COLUMBIA COUNTY | 210.95 |
| COLUMBIA 4H \& EXTENSION | 8.67 |
| COL 9-1-1 COMM DISTR | 82.66 |
| COLUMBIA VECTOR | 19.32 |
| GTR ST HELENS PK \& REC | 36.39 |
| COLUMBIA HEALTH (2) | 58.92 |
| RAINIER CEMETERY | 9.88 |
| PORT OF ST HELENS | 13.38 |
| COLUMBIA SWCD | 0.00 |
| COLUMBIA RIVER FIRE | 426.64 |
| COLCO DEV AGENCY | 10.54 |
| GENERAL GOVT TOTAL: | 877.35 |
|  |  |
| COLUMBIA COUNTY | 47.52 |
| ST HELENS 502 SCHOOL | 193.20 |
| PORTLAND COMM COLLEGE | 31.95 |
| FIRE PATROL | 28.86 |
| FIRE PATROL SURCHARGE | 38.00 |
| BONDS - OTHER TOTAL: | 339.53 |

2006-2007 TAX (Before Discount)
$2,063.85$

| PAYMENT OPTIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Due | 3\% Option | 2\% Option | Trimester Option |
| $11 / 15 / 06$ | $2,001.93$ | $1,348.38$ | 687.95 |
| $02 / 15 / 07$ |  |  | 687.95 |
| $05 / 15 / 07$ |  | 687.95 | 687.95 |
| Total | $2,001.93$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 6 . 3 3}$ | $2,063.85$ |

TOTAL DUE (After Discount and Pre-payments)
2,001.93

| $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow \text { Tear Here } \\ & \text { 2006-2007PROPER } \end{aligned}$ | PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT COLUMBIA COUNTY REAL |  |  |  |  | Tear Here $\uparrow$ACCOUNT NO. 16381 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAYMENT OPTIONS <br> Full Payment Enclosed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discount } \\ \mathbf{3 \%} \end{gathered}$ | Date Due | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Amount } \\ 2,001.93 \end{array}$ | Date Due | Amount | Date Due 05/15/07 | Amount |
| or 2/3 Payment Enclosed | 2\% | 11/15/06 | 1,348.38 |  |  | 05/15/07 | 687.95 |
| or 1/3 Payment Enclosed | 0\% | 11/15/06 | 687.95 | 02/15/07 | 687.95 | 05/15/07 | 687.95 |

## DISCOUNT IS LOST \& INTEREST APPLIES AFTER DUE DATE

( UNPAID DELINQUENT TAX INCLUDED IN PAYMENT)Mailing address change on back

16381-4-003529-200193
PETERSEN JOHN ALLAN \& AGNES MARIE VANNATTA AND PETERSEN
PO BOX 748
ST HELENS OR 97051

## MAKE PAYMENT TO:

COLUMBIA COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR
230 STRAND STREET
ST. HELENS, OR 97051

Our main concern is the diminishing water in the aquifer that feeds the homes in this area. The well logs, taken on the drill date, have proved totally worthless to gauge future water. Nearly all the homes here that started with drill logs that were over 10 gpm to 35 gpm are now struggling to survive due to low water, many have been redrilled or reworked and are still struggling, some cannot redrill and most are on storage tanks due to low water. My water volume is .4 gpm . Many of the trees in our area are dying due to our declining water table. See photos.

The $37 / 49$ rule on contiguous property has been continually ignored. This rule went into effect on 11/30/2006, the day the Petersen's signed their $37 / 49$ claim. Both lot 401 and lot 400 were in their names as of 10/2/2006 when lot 401 was deeded back from Jane Thompson. Even though lot 401 is a FA80 property and was divided up in 2020 this does not change the fact that Petersen's owned lot 401 with an occupied dwelling contiguous to lot 400 , limiting the homes on lot 400 to two homes not 8 . Deeds included.

The DLCD stated they relied on information from Columbia County and the applicant, "no contiguous property was noted so no contiguous property was stated". Even so the applicant must abide by the $37 / 49$ rules as stated in their application, DLCD rules "Home Site Authorization", pg. 5 of 8: \#4. "Lots are reduced regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to our department". Being that the home sites on lot 400 have been reduced from 3 to 2 , clustering should not be permitted.

We do know our well rights, being that it is very probable that the diminishing aquifer that feeds our homes will also feed the eight 4 bedroom homes on the subdivision and if this additional water draw adversity affects our wells the state would require the junior, or newer wells, would have to be shut off. With all the water information available and also given this would be a terrible (but too late) situation. Somebody would be held responsible and no doubt a huge lawsuit would be the result.

The deed that the Petersen's used to show ownership of lot 400 in their application is the deed used to sell lot 401 to Jane I hompson trom the Petersen's. WHY???

Tide Creek Rd. is a disaster in progress, then add the thousands of extra trips per year, (approximately 12-18,000 per year for eight four bedroom homes), and even before that, there is the construction for the road, then for the lots, drainage preps, the lots, drain fields, wells, homes, ect., ect.

Page 18 of 22 states they are complying to road standards serving no more than six lots, the plot map shows 8 homes, there is a statement that two homes will have separate access to Tide Creek Rd., this is not shown on the plot map, though they do show both drain fields are partly under the road.

With about 80 feet of fall from Tide Creek Rd. to the turn around, with this amount of slope to the lots we see this as a wash out in waiting. The location of the last two homes on the west and the one at the end, this area turns into a swamp in most winters, how well does a septic system work under water? There is a 150 foot of fall to the fish stream, there are 2 wells there and how many more wells between here and the Columia river, 2 miles away where it comes out that could be in danger of contamination.

We also have a concern on the height of these homes, unlike nearly all the homes on Tide Creek Rd. which have a viewable frontage setback, and the close proximity to adjoining properties these homes should not exceed $24^{\prime \prime}$ in height, even this would be a view blocker from three sides.

Since this property is not within the service district of an existing community water system, the authorized dwellings on all Lots will be served by private wells. This was stated in the previous application, this seems to be omitted in this application to lessen the expense of the builder. Shared wells are always a huge problem and a constant headache for the new owners forever, both water quantity and quality should be a guarantee before any purchase.

List of home owners signed on to having us and/or our selected representative represent them. We have more signatures to collect. List Includes Frank and Gene

We the following are on the list opposed to the Lupine Meadow Subdivision. We each are giving our permission for Gene Hester, Frank Hall, or their representative to speak on our behalf at any meeting against said subdivision:
thank Tall 33268 tide Geek Red.
Janice Half Marka Whistel 32829 Tide Creek Rd Mara Christen 32829 Tide Creek Rd
Ty Christie DEER Island OR 97054

Karla Real 32830 Tide creek ko Deer Island or EMMA KIRCHHOFF 33962 Tide Creek Rd Deer islarkia or inc
AnDREW AHRN:BRAK.
Patty Prawign, 33220 Tide Creek Rd. Deer Island ore 97054
Thenniad mcfarland 33300 Tide CK Rd Deer Island, OR97054 It nine fientond
on
$\qquad$
 trio t lineal others.

NOAMA.N MONTGOMERY and DOROTHY JEAN MONTGOMERY, husoand and wite, herein referred to as grantors, hereby grants, bargains, sells and conveys unto


The Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter and the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 23 , and that
 part of the North half of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter, and that part of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 25 , described as follows:

SUBJECT TO:

> Real Property taxes from 0こtober J, 1965 . Rights of the Publ ic in roads and Highways. Easement recorded in Book 68 , Page 131 , Deed Records, Columoia County, Oregon. Easement recorded in Book 93 , Page 376 , Deed Records. Easement contained in Book 137 , Page 452 , Deed Records, Columbia County, Oregon.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises unto said Grantee, his heirs and assigns forever. And the said Granter hereby covenants that he is lawfully smized in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all incumbrances, except

Real Property taxes from october d,1965.
Rights of the Public in roads and Highways.
Easement recorded in Book 60, Page 131, Deed Records, Columbia County, oregon. Easement recorded in Book 93, Page 376, Deed Records.
Easement contained In Book 137, Page iva, Deed Records, Columbia County, Oregon.
and that they will warrant and defend the above granted premises against all
lawful claims whatsoever, except as above stateó.
Doted this ...I day of October, 1965.

$n$


STATE OF OREGON ) ) 53. County of columbia)

4


Personally appeared the above named NORMAN MONTGOMERY and טOROTHY JEAN MORTGOHERY, husband and wife, end acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be their voluntary act and deed.

Before me:

0125
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Deed } \# 1 \text { B } \\
& \text { montgomery } \\
& \text { Sells propentx } \\
& \text { To John and Agnes } \\
& \text { Detersin } \\
& \text { October } 1965
\end{aligned}
$$

KNOW ALL REN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we, John A. Petersen and Agnes Marie Petersen, husband and wife
in consideration of TEM DOLLARS and other valuable consideration
to us paid by Agnes Jane Thompson, a single person $\qquad$
do hereby grant, barging, sell and convoy unto said Agnes Jane Thompson, her
heirs and assidns, all the following real property, with the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances situated in the Country of

Columbia and State of Oregon, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

The Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 25, and that part of the southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 25, described as follows:

Beginning at a point 357 feet East of the South quarter section corner: thence North $12^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East 35.3 feet; thence North $7^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ Hest 39 feet; thence North $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West 51.6 feet; thence North $32^{\circ} 34^{\prime \prime}$ West 76.3 feet; thence North $12^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ West 137.2 feet; thence North $5^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ West 264.6 Fear; thence North $32^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West 367.7 feet; thence North $72^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ Hest 1430 feet to a point on the West If ne of the worth half of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter; thence North to the Northwest corner thereof; thence East to the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter; thence South to the Southeast corner off' said Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter; thence West to the point of beginning, all in Township 6 North, Range 2 Vest of the WIllamette Meridian, Columbia County, Oregon:

Deed \# 2 A
John and Agnes Peterson

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sell property To } \\
& \text { Jane Thompson } \\
& \text { December } 1966 \\
& \text { Lot } 401
\end{aligned}
$$

To Hive and to Hold, the above doacribed and Iranted premises unto tho said Agnes Jane Thompson a single person, her
heist and assigns forever.
Arid we. John Allan Petersen and Agnes Marie Petersen
the efrantor above maned to covenant to and with the above named dranteo. her heirs and assigns that we are Lunfulty amassed in foe simple of the above granted premises, that the above dratted premise a are from from all encumbrances,
and that we will and our heirs, executors and administrators, ahnall warrant and forever deferid the " above granted premises, and avery part and parcel thereof, afainst the lawful claims and demands of all persons whomsoever, save as above set forth.

Witness our hench and sons this



KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That

1. Agnes Jane Thompson,
a single person- $\qquad$
in consideration of
TEN DOLLARS and other valuable consideration
to me paid by John Allan Petersen and Agnes Marie Petersen, husband and wife,
do hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey unto said John Allan Petersen and Agnes Marie Petersen, husband and wife, their
heirs and assigns, all the following real property, with the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances situated in the County of

Columbia and State of Oregon, bounded and described as follows, to-wit;

The Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of section 25, and that part of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 25, described as follows;

Beginning at a point 357 feet East of the South quarter section corner; thence North $12^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ East 35.3 feet; thence North $7^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ Nest 39 feet; thence Nor th $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West 51.6 feet; thence North $32^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ West 76.3 feet; thence North $12^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ West 137.2 feet; thence North $6^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ West 264.6 feet; thence North $32^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West 367.7 feet; thence North $72^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ West 1430 feet to a point on the West line of the North half of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter: thence North to the Northwest corner thereof; thence East to the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter; thence South to the Southeast corner of said Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter; thence West to the point of beginning, all in Township 6 North, Range 2 West of the Willamette Meridian, Columbia County, Oregon.
Deed 其召A

Sane Thompson Sold
John and Agnes Peterson
The loment p Buck
October 2006

$$
\text { Lot } 401
$$

To Have and to Hold, the above described and granted praises unto the said John Allan Petersen and Agnes Marie Petersen, husband and wife, their
heirs and assigns forever.
And I. Agnes Jane Thompson
the grantor above named do covenant to and with the above named grantees, their heirs and assigns that I am lawfully seized in fee simple of the above granted premises, that the above granted premises are free from all encumbrances,
and that I will and my heirs, executors and administrators, shall warrant and forever defend the above granted premises, and every part and parcel thereof, against the lawful claims and demands of all persons whomsoever, save as above set forth.

Witness hand and seal this
Ry, time tu.
VANNATTA \& PETERSEN
ATTORNEYS ATLAW
PO BOX 748
ST HELENS, OR 97051

COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON 2006-012915 CNT=1 STI=8 HUSERB 10102/2006 02:00:37 PM $\$ 10.00 \$ 11.00 \$ 10.00 \quad$ Total: $\$ 31.00$


ceriliy that the Instrument tedertified here in war recorded in the Clerk
Elizabeth E. Muser - County Clerk

Jane Peterson
Was The Reconciled
Deed owner from Recenter 1966


October 2006 Almost 40 teens

Johnand Agnes Peterson have Been The Recorded Deed owner Since October 2006

## STATE OF OREGON

## Country of

BE IT REMEMBERED, That on this
day of
 named
knavish 50 's
achebe





IN TESTMTONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hard i and utilized my-oiticial seal the day and year last above written.




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Deed } 3 \text { B } \\
& \text { Jame Thampsan Sold } \\
& \text { John and Agnes peterson } \\
& \text { The property Back } \\
& \text { October } 2006
\end{aligned}
$$



Olpclaimer: This mep was produced using Columbia County C.S data. The C.S dita is maintained ty the County wo support is governmental activites and is subject is change without notice. This map should nat be used for survey er engineering purposes. Columbie County assumes no responsiblity with regard to the setection, performance or ue of information out this


## Hyarıc Joils Map and 6 New Lots



## Columbia County Web Maps





## (1) TICOR TITLE COMPANY

This map/plat is being furnished as an aid in locating the herein described Land in relation to adjoining streets, natural boundaries and other land, and is not a survey of the land depicted. Except to the extent a policy of title insurance is expressly modified by endorsement, if any, the Company does not insure dimensions, distances, location of easements, acreage or other matters shown thereon

Peterson's submitted this well log data in an attempt to show that the Residents in the proposed lupine meadow area on Tide Creek Road have plenty of water. Because the well log data came from McMullens I am sure it is very legit. However since the data was collected as far out as 52 years ago it is mostly not current and obsolete.
Peterson also claimed on this well $\log$ sheet that OWRD states that half a gallon a minute can establish a well. He does not tell you the whole story. That is only if you instal a very expensive big storage tank system that the water can trickle into to build up over time when you are not using it. And a huge water supply. Just normal common sense clearly dictates that you cannot run a household on one half gallon a minute. You can have 5 gpm water but run out of water with $30-40$ minutes of steady running. Most all of us have had extensive well work done and a large percentage are on storage tanks. Storage tanks are a last ditch effort and you are limited with them when it comes to garden watering and pressure washing etc.

WELL LOG DATA

| Startcard \# (if listed) | Well Log ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Date | Tax Lot No. |  | Yield (gal/min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1028595 | COLU 55121 | 10/26/2015 | 6225-00-00400 | 1 | 10.0 Petersons new |
|  | COLU 1704 | 7/13/1976 | 6225-00-00900 | 2 | 37.0- dupllicate |
|  | COLU 1706 | 8/7/1971 | 6225-00-00700 | 3 | $10.0-3 \mathrm{gpm}$ |
| 83956 | COLU 50307 | 10/30/1995 | 6225-00-01009 | 4 | 25.0 out of area |
| 83964 | COLU 50308 | 10/26/1995 | 6225-00-01006 | 5 | 12.003 gpm |
| 126399 | COLU 52001 | 2/6/2002 | 6225-00-00900 | 6 | $10.0 \quad 2002$ |
| 148019 | COLU 52165 | 12/31/2002 | 6225-00-01007 | 7. | 20.02 gpm |
| 1043597 | COLU 55494 | 7/15/2019 | 6236-00-00300 | 8 | 60.0 out of area |

Submitted with this application as Attachment 8 are copiestof the above well
logs. This data establishes a yield for wells in the arca ranging from 10 to 60 gallons
per minute.
The OWRD well logs clearly establish adequate potential for water for the

## proposed lots.

1. This is Petersons new deep water well

2 This is a duplicate on Jim McFariand property. ( \#2 and \# 6 above) He lost 150' of well and dropped to 10 gpm 2002 . He is not sure what it does now . 2 people in house. Lite use.
3 John Parsons. Several reworks on well. Sulpher smell.Runs out of water often.Cannot drill again
4 Out of area well
5 Tim Rose installed large storage "tanks due to low water. 3 gpm
6 Jim Mcfarland. Had 37 gpm . Major well rework .Dropped 150' off of well. 200210 gpm
7 Mary Wells. Had to put in new well at the same time as Jim Mcfarland. Was 14 gpm now down to 2 gpm
8 Out of area well
Page 21 of 23 - Petersen Application for M49 Subdivision; Lupine Meadow Subdivision Townshin ©N. Rance DW Saption is Tow Int AMn

by Roger M. Waller

## INCREASED PUMPING IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA

Another reason that wells "go dry" is the lowering of the water table by increased pumpage in the immediate area. Housing deveiopments with small iots and individual welis have been built in many rural areas. If the aquifer is low yieiding so that pumping causes a large drawdown, a cone of depression will develop around each well. Thus, several domestic wells close together can create a steady lowering of the water table if purnpage exceeds the natural recharge to the system (unless the withdrawn water is returned to the aquifer through septic systems). A third major reason that rural welis "go dry" is the installation of larger capacity wells for municipal, industrial, or agricultural purposes adjacent to residental areas. The increased withdrawals may cause large widespread cones of depression that intersec eral water-level decines that affect nearby domestic wells


> What can happen when a Hydric Soil area water level rises again.

Effect of concentrated housing an ground-water
level.

## WATER-LEVEL RISES

The opposite problem, namely a rising water table, has developed in some parts of the country. Rising water tables occur in areas where purnpage, as been curtailed after years of large ground-water withdrawais, such as for mine dewaring or the countr. Rising water cables occur in areas where purngage, as been curtailment of purnping allows the water table to nise to the previous natural leveling or municipal water supply, which kept the water table below its nath al levels. The lowered.

In many parts of the country, water levels in shallow aquifers have been lowered artificially over large areas. If houses are constructed in dewatered arec : and if the water table then recovers to its natural (higher) level, basement flooding or foundation failures may occur, especiaily where the natural water level is with, i 10 fee public's first reaction may be that unusually heavy precipity unconsolidated matenal and that had remained dry for decades have now become permanentiy $w$. The in fact the situation is much more serious and will remain a problem unless purnping is ras raised the water toble or created a temporary perched-water systen . when

Where water lavels are clesely monitored
levels are recovering after nearby pumping has ceased. An records can tndicate whether such high water leveds are retated solely to climatic events or whether w. . serious problems in the future when such oumnage is An increasing number of local areas are being dewatered for manag ar industrial uses, which could cause

Similar situations have occurred where housing developments were bint during a period of extended drought when the watar toble was low. were the "daylight" or rased type because the natural water table was shaldow, the eventual return of a wat pertod caused the wable tas basements


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ In their Measure 37 claim, the claimants asserted an acquisition date of December 30, 1966, for the Measure 37 claim property. The claimants recorded a deed signed by Agnes Thompson, dated December of 1966, and recorded on October 2, 2006. This deed is invalid because it was not notarized as required by ORS 93.010 and ORS 93.410. Additionally, the Estate of Agnes Jane Thompson indicates that the Measure 37 claim property was an asset of the Estate of Agnes Jane Thompson. The Order Approving Final Account and Judgment of the Final Distribution (the Estate) dated October 11, 2007 distributed the property from the Estate to claimant Agnes Petersen.

